EXHIBIT J

Second Outreach to MIQ 1/16/24 6:38pm MST

Thank you so much for your suggestions and contributions. Below is the Letter for [MIQ]. I did not receive any compelling reasons to cut out the answers to the questions [MIQ] asked us. I left that part in. My apologies to those who wanted to cut that part.

I did add many of the suggested rewordings, Thank you. I want to send this by 8:00 tonight so I need those who want their signatures added to let me know ASAP. If you feel like there is something I missed that [MIQ] needs to know. Here is his email [address] ______ Please remember some of the women of the council voted for confidentiality. please respect their wishes.

[MIQ],

We met on Sunday as a Women's Council. We did not come to a decision. We called a recess and will meet again next Sunday, January 22[21]. This gives you an additional few days to respond. We hope you respond because the women of this council want to hear your account of things before making a decision. We did not receive your last email until 11:49 am our time last Sunday. This was after the recess had been called. During the council, the women voted on the best way to consider your testimony. The consensus was to extend a third invitation to you to please submit your response in writing. All of the other testimonies we have considered thus far have been in written form. Thus, allowing you to respond in writing is both fair and appropriate. I acknowledge and sympathize with your sentiment that writing is not your strong suit, but having read your testimony in *Preserving the Restoration* it is apparent to me that you can write with precision and clarity. This mode of communication is also more reliable given the technological limitations you are under. Please answer any questions you feel the need to clarify and add any context and perspective you feel is important. As one of the accusations against you is ______, please be strictly truthful and refrain from your wife or the women of the council. If you still prefer not to respond in written form, an audio file is fine.

Regarding your question about timing: The original question of calling a women's council for you arose a year ago due to the ______ that was witnessed by two of us in Israel. The matter was brought up again after more than a dozen people witnessed the events in the UK that took place two months ago. Hence we feel the timing is delayed rather than in haste. We have prayed about the timing and feel it is important to address these grievous concerns before the conference in New York. Also, some women in this council have participated in previous councils and have requested that we hold this council as quickly and discretely as is prudent. Previous councils have turned into circuses in the "court of public opinion" when they were drawn out. It is to your benefit, and all the women involved that this not be drawn out.

You have asked why we are asking you for a written statement (or audio) and not inviting you to participate in person. As we have stated multiple times, according to the relevant

scriptures that govern Women's Councils, as found in T&C 157:57 and 175:32, your attendance is not required. Please review those scriptures. In addition to the absence of that requirement in our scriptures, receiving your written report is optimal for the following three reasons:

1.	Your physical location (in Turkey) makes things difficult because of the time difference and access to reliable technology.		
2.	You have a reputation as an to having this reputation, as you are well aware dearly have set some personal boundaries with	, ,	
3.	You also have a reputation for the women on the council have asked for anony vote their conscience.		

I apologize for my bluntness in addressing this issue. I know this must be very difficult to hear. These same women also give you full credit for the good, noble, and friendly aspects of your personality. People are complicated. You are complicated. It is not easy to evaluate another person in this way. It is not easy to be called to account in this way for you. I am blunt because I feel that you would see right through any attempt on my part to obscure these things.

To answer your final question about why we are still holding a Women's Council if you are not currently baptizing, blessing the sacrament, or ordaining others. As a man who holds the priesthood, you are aware that it is a real and important responsibility. Specifically in your case, you are teaching, preaching, exhorting, and expounding the gospel to people abroad every day, and should you be successful in converting some few who will listen, they may desire baptism immediately. Without a valid priesthood certificate, you would be unable to service them in this vital next step, and the subsequent steps of partaking of the sacrament and accepting of the covenant now offered to mankind. You should most definitely "afford to care" about such an important role and responsibility. Simply abstaining from performing public ordinances may serve to avoid accountability.

The opportunity to repent and seek reinstatement is an open invitation. If we vote on Sunday to revoke, we hope to meet again to reinstate. In the event we vote for you to retain your priesthood, this is still an important process if it leads to a greater sense of accountability regarding the high level of conduct expected of a servant of God and holder of his priesthood.

[Your wife] is being offered the same opportunity to submit a written document before we reconvene next Sunday, which will be read to the council if she chooses to submit one. As we explicitly asked in our letter to you dated Thursday, Jan 11, please conform to the

guidelines listed there when writing your response, being mindful to answer the specific questions that are found in that letter, along with any feelings about those events that you would like to share.

In addition to those questions listed in the letter of January 12, please answer one more (and very important) question that came up several times yesterday in the Council, as it is material to your case. That question is:

Are you married?

If your answer is no, please explain why that is your answer and provide any pertinent supporting details, such as the date of your divorce from [your wife].

Lastly, because we are offering you (for a third time) the opportunity to answer these charges in your own words, we have set the deadline for us to receive your written/audio response as Thursday, January 18th at 12 Noon.

Thank you for your consideration. I hope I have answered your questions. This letter has been reviewed and amended by the women of this council.

Best

Jennifer [Bowler]